34th Annual Fiduciary Risk Management Conference

BUILDING AN INVESTMENT DUE DILIENCE PROGRAM

May 11, 2021

PRESENTED BY Lisa K. Tesarik, CFA, Managing Director Lisa.Tesarik@cibc.com



wealth. us.cibc.com

Discussion Topics-What We Will Cover Today

- 1. Why Establish a Formal Evaluation Process?
- 2. Best Practices for a Comprehensive Investment Manager Due Diligence Process...Search, Selection, Oversight and Other Considerations
- 3. Maintaining the Integrity of the Process
- 4. Quality vs. Quantity
- 5. M&A: Platform Integration Considerations
- 6. Lessons Learned
- 7. Key Takeaways

Why Establish a Formal Evaluation Process?

Why Establish a Formal Evaluation Process?

- Fiduciary duty
 - "The standard of prudence is judged on whether the trustee followed appropriate procedures or processes for managing risk, diversifying assets, and balancing the financial needs of the beneficiaries. Neither the performance of an individual investment nor the overall performance of the portfolio is central to a legal determination of prudence. Prudence is demonstrated by the quality of risk management processes used to develop, implement, and monitor trust investment strategies." —Uniform Prudent Investor Act
 - Readily respond to questions about your firm's oversight process during regulatory exams
- Part of firm's approach to asset management
 - Extension of firm's investment decision-making process and research
 - Facilitates more efficient and effective investment meetings:
 - Investment Committee
 - Prospect and client meetings
 - Investment manager meetings
- Risk mitigation
 - Establishes consistent approach to evaluating investment managers
 - Provides structure and discipline for not only hiring, but also firing managers, which is usually harder



Best Practices for a Comprehensive Investment Manager Due Diligence Process

Key Attributes

- Separate Due diligence Committee
 - Sub-Committee of Investment or Allocation Committee
 - Appoint committee chair; reporting structure
 - Membership
 - Charter
 - Minutes
- Consistent and continuous oversight process
 - Search
 - Establish guidelines for considering a strategy/manager
 - Resources-people and budget
 - Tools-databases, ADVs, questionnaires, onsite visits, consultants
 - Quantitative vs. qualitative or both?
 - Selection
 - Operational due diligence-mutual funds vs. LPs
 - Mutual Funds-share class selection
 - SMAs-negotiate fees/minimums
 - Final evaluation and approval process





Key Attributes

- Consistent oversight process continued
 - Ongoing monitoring
 - Not done after initial due diligence-continuous
 - Establish assessment data points—returns, risk statistics, AUM (strategy and your clients' assets in strategy), formal review
 - Set frequency of reviews: weekly-quarterly-annually
 - Dollar thresholds?
 - Onsite visits?
 - Track interaction with managers
 - Create shared internal database for due diligence team
 - Document manager meetings
 - Save due diligence questionnaires, quarterly manager commentary
 - Create a "watch list"?
 - Set manager termination guidelines—how strict should they be?



Other Considerations

- What about due diligence on passive investments?
 - Okay to have a different process than for active managers
 - Be consistent in application
 - May just be quantitative review—AUM/your exposure, performance, tracking error, premium/discount to NAV, fees
- Use of a consultant
 - To what extent?
 - Due diligence considerations
- Annual assessment of platform
 - Gaps?
 - Too many managers?
 - Are there better alternatives?
 - Review of overall due diligence process



Reporting-Why Is It Important?

- Platform
- Analysis
- Regulatory
- Front office (Relationship Managers "RMs")
- Communications—critical for buy-in



Communications With Front Office RMs

- Presentation describing due diligence process
- Education and updates on strategies
 - Webinars
 - Gatekeeper role
 - Updates with managers
 - Database
- Resource
 - Due diligence team email box
 - Provide talking points on manager performance
 - Participate in client meetings



Maintaining the Integrity of the Process



Maintaining the Integrity of the Process

Identifying and Addressing Conflicts of Interest

- Policy manual should include policies to address entertainment by investment managers
 - Covers Due Diligence/Investment Committees as well as RMs
 - If using consultant—what is their policy for entertainment?
- Proprietary strategies
 - Ensure permitted by law, disclosed, fees reasonable and in best interest of clients
 - Ideally, follow same due diligence process as third party managers
- Investment strategies run by clients of the bank that want to be on the platform
 - Providing LOC? Counterparty?
 - Extra hurdle for due diligence; disclosure to clients
 - May need to educate Senior Management about the risks and conflicts
 - Need to be able to fire the manager
- Head of Due Diligence—calls for a strong leader that is able to stand his/her ground
 - Needs support from Compliance, Risk Management, Senior Management



Quality vs. Quantity

Quality vs. Quantity

Breadth of the Platform

- Diversification in terms of asset classes, styles (active, passive, concentrated, vehicles, fundamental, quantitative, etc.)
- Aligned with firm's AUM
- Manageable to oversee given needs and resources
- Sufficient assets to be a meaningful to manager and maintain good access to team



M&A: Platform Integration Considerations



M&A: Platform Integration Considerations

Mergers Present an Opportunity to Re-evaluate Platform

- Establish common due diligence process
- Create best-in-class platform by drawing best managers from each firm's platforms
- Best to rollout integrated platform to front office early in integration process to bring firm's closer together
- Create legacy hold list for strategies with low cost basis and/or significant client exposure
 - Need to continue to follow; may have a slightly different due diligence process for these managers
 - Terminate managers once assets and the number of clients invested become de minimis



Lessons Learned

Lessons Learned

- Passive or patient
- Chasing performance tends to lead to disappointment
- Black and white and grey; hesitating on terminating a manager
- Take the meeting
- First impressions really do matter
 - Selling or advising?
 - The dump
- Wash, rinse, repeat
- If you don't have a robust process, how can you properly judge a manager's process?



Key Takeaways

Key Takeaways

- Best Practices call for establishing a quasi-independent due diligence committee to oversee the platform; leadership should differ from approving committee
- Your process for selecting and overseeing managers should be consistently applied, supported by the organization and easy to articulate to managers, prospects and clients
- Be aware of conflicts; open to new strategies and ways to further enhance the platform and overall due diligence process
- Helpful resource: Comptroller's Handbook-Investment Management Services, which can be found on the OCC's website under Asset Management
 - Appendix F: Guidelines for Selecting Investment Managers and Advisers https://www.occ.treas.gov/publications-and-resources/publications/comptrollershandbook/index-comptrollers-handbook.html



CIBC Private Wealth Management includes CIBC National Trust Company (a limited-purpose national trust company), CIBC Delaware Trust Company (a Delaware Inited-purpose trust company), CIBC Private Wealth Advisors, Inc. (a registered investment adviser)—all of which are wholly owned subsidiaries of CIBC Private Wealth Group, LLC—and the private wealth division of CIBC Bank USA. All of these entities are wholly owned subsidiaries of Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce.

This document is intended for informational purposes only, and the material presented should not be construed as an offer or recommendation to buy or sell any security. Concepts expressed are current as of the date of this document only and may change without notice. Such concepts are the opinions of our investment professionals, many of whom are Chartered Financial Analyst® (CFA®) charterholders or CERTIFIED FINANCIAL PLANNER[™] professionals. Certified Financial Planner Board of Standards Inc. owns the certification marks CFP® and CERTIFIED FINANCIAL PLANNER[™] in the U.S.

There is no guarantee that these views will come to pass. Past performance does not guarantee future comparable results. The tax information contained herein is general and for informational purposes only. CIBC Private Wealth Management does not provide legal or tax advice, and the information contained herein should only be used in consultation with your legal, accounting and tax advisers. To the extent that information contained herein is derived from third-party sources, although we believe the sources to be reliable, we cannot guarantee their accuracy. The CIBC logo is a registered trademark of CIBC, used under license. Approved 5012-20.

Investment Products Offered are Not FDIC-Insured, May Lose Value and are Not Bank Guaranteed.

